

1 Esta es la última versión enviada y aceptada del artículo:

2 **Garrido, M., & Pérez-Mellado, V.** 2015. Human pressure, parasitism and body condition in  
3 an insular population of a Mediterranean lizard. **European J. Wildlife Research** 61(4), 617-  
4 621. doi:[10.1007/s10344-015-0915-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-015-0915-7) (2015 IF: 1.403; Q2, Zoology)

5

6

7 Mario Garrido<sup>a,\*</sup>, Valentín Pérez-Mellado<sup>a</sup>

8 Human pressure, parasitism and body condition in an insular population of a

9 Mediterranean lizard

10 <sup>a</sup>Department of Animal Biology, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

11 \*Corresponding author: e-mail address: [gaiarrido@usal.es](mailto:gaiarrido@usal.es)

12

### 13 **Abstract**

14 Many wild populations of lizards in the Mediterranean Basin inhabit small  
15 islands frequently visited by humans. Reptiles respond to humans as potential predators  
16 by escaping to refuges or by increasing antipredator behaviours which lead to a loss of  
17 body condition and may have important consequences for fitness. We assessed effects  
18 of human pressure on parasitism and body condition of the endangered insular lizard  
19 *Podarcis lilfordi* in Aire Island (Balearic Islands, Spain). Two areas differing in the  
20 number of visitors were compared at different seasons: spring, with almost no human  
21 pressure, and summer, when the major bulk of visitors arrive. Compared across seasons,  
22 the lizards from areas frequented by humans suffered a greater loss of body condition  
23 and showed a less parasitism reduction compared to individuals from the undisturbed  
24 area. Therefore, human disturbance seems to have deleterious effects on body condition

25 and other fitness-related drivers, as parasitism. Results evidence important  
26 consequences of tourism for short and long term fitness of individuals and should be  
27 considered when designing conservation plans or management strategies.

28 **Keywords:** human pressure; host-parasite system; island; ectoparasites; *Podarcis*  
29 *lilfordi*; body condition

## 30 **Introduction**

31       There is an increasing concern about the damage caused by humans in natural  
32 populations, especially in the most vulnerable ones. Over the last few decades, several  
33 populations of different lizards' species are in decline in Europe and increased tourism  
34 has been proposed to be a determinant factor in this decline (Corbett 1989; Amo et al.  
35 2006). Human disturbance has been mainly associated with changes in the behaviour of  
36 animals, which has even been taken as an index of disturbance effects (Carney and  
37 Sydeaman 1999). Reptiles respond to humans as potential predators by escaping to  
38 refuges or increasing antipredator behaviours (Amo et al. 2006; Martín and López 1999;  
39 Pérez-Tris et al. 2004). Thus, individuals lose time available for other activities as  
40 foraging and may experience further physiological costs, including a decrease in body  
41 condition (Martín and López 1999; Pérez-Tris et al. 2004). Such loss of energy may  
42 affect other physiological requirements; avoidance of predation takes precedence over  
43 immune function, so the ability to cope with parasitism is reduced (Navarro et al. 2004).  
44 Under experimental conditions, individuals exposed to a predator showed higher rates  
45 of parasitism (Navarro et al. 2004).

46       Throughout the summer, several reptiles' populations in Mediterranean islets are  
47 exposed to large number of visitors but their impact on local wildlife populations has  
48 not been tested. For the endangered lizard *Podarcis lilfordi*, tourism is considered one

49 of its major threats (IUCN 2013). It has been even proposed to control the number of  
50 visitors to the islands where the species is present (IUCN 2013). In this study, we  
51 examined body condition and ectoparasite load of individuals of *P. lilfordi* in Aire  
52 Island. We compared areas with different influx of visitors and during different seasons:  
53 spring, when visitors are sporadic, and summer, when the bulk of visitors arrive.  
54 Moreover, in summer, drought is particularly intense and resources scarce, so lizards  
55 suffer a decrease in body condition (Garrido and Pérez-Mellado 2013a). We predict that  
56 individuals living in the most visited areas suffer a greater loss in body condition and  
57 greater rates of parasitism in response to human presence.

58

## 59 **Material and methods**

60 *Podarcis lilfordi* is a medium-sized lacertid lizard endemic of the Balearic  
61 Islands. The study was conducted during the 2007-2010 period in Aire Island  
62 (39°48'3"N 4°17'24"E), a small islet off Menorca (Balearic Islands, Spain). In Aire, the  
63 main accessible area for visitors is a narrow track of 465 meters between the dock, on  
64 the northern coast, and the lighthouse in the south (Figure 1). Two reports on the human  
65 use of Aire Island (Borrás et al. 2009; Marsinyach and López 2009) point out that  
66 tourist pressure is particularly intense during summer while during spring visitors were  
67 sporadic, 82.3 and 3.7 people per day respectively. Almost all the visitors remain in the  
68 vicinity of the jetty or visit the lighthouse using the track. So, visitors concentrate  
69 around the track and during summer. Visitors are not allowed to stay overnight. Mean  
70 stay rarely go beyond two hours, however, visitors who spend all day on the island are  
71 not uncommon to see in summer (pers. obs.). We divided the lizards into those found in  
72 the visitors' area, covering the entire area in the vicinity of the track, and those from the

73 undisturbed area, the rest of the island, almost free of humans. Lizards included in  
74 visitors' area were captured no more than 4 meters away from either side of the track.  
75 To ensure that captured individuals are under the sole influence of one zone, we  
76 delimited a transition zone of 60 m between the influence of the track and away from it.  
77 This transition zone was delimited according to *P. lilfordi*'s home range in Aire Island  
78 ( $\bar{x}\pm\text{SE}$ : 55.74 $\pm$ 4.68 m<sup>2</sup>;  $n$ = 766; Pérez-Mellado et al., 2013). Lizards from undisturbed  
79 area were captured outside these limits. No differences in microhabitat characteristics  
80 exist between both areas; the only difference among areas is the presence of summer  
81 visitors.

82 Here Figure 1

83 Lizards were collected by noosing and snout-vent length (SVL), body weight  
84 and sex were recorded. The residuals of the regression of body weight on SVL were  
85 used as an index of body condition (Schulte-Hostedde et al. 2005). Condition was  
86 estimated separately for each sex due to sexual dimorphism in this population (Garrido  
87 and Pérez-Mellado 2013b). Ectoparasites were counted *in situ* with a 5x magnifying  
88 lens inspecting the whole body. In Aire, lizards exhibit high rates of infection by mites,  
89 acquired indirectly when sharing suitable places for basking, foraging or hiding or by  
90 direct contact with infected conspecifics (Garrido & Pérez-Mellado 2013a, b). Mites  
91 can damage tissues, deplete fluids, trigger the immune response and serve as vectors of  
92 diseases (Wakelin 1996). In all cases, ectoparasites observed were larvae of chigger  
93 mites, assigned to family Trombiculidae (M. Moraza, pers. comm.). Lizards from each  
94 area were marked with different colour pens. Throughout the study period, marked  
95 individuals from one area were not seen in the other area. In addition, lizards already  
96 studied were easily recognised by the tiny lengthwise tail cut made to extract blood

97 samples for other studies (Garrido & Pérez-Mellado 2013a, b). Lizards already captured  
98 were discarded. Thus, during the study each individual was studied only once.

99           Statistical analyses were carried out in R environment (ver. 2.12.1, R  
100 Development Core Team 2010). We applied GLMs for males and females separately, as  
101 they showed differences in parasite load (Table 1). As parasite load distribution did not  
102 show homogeneous variances, we applied GLM's with a Poisson's distribution or, if  
103 overdispersion was detected, quasi-Poisson GLMs were fitted (Quinn and Keough  
104 2002). Season, area and year were introduced as factors in the models to test their  
105 effects in parasite load. In all cases, we employed a forward selection procedure adding,  
106 at each step, those variables with the greatest  $F$  value (Quinn and Keough 2002). Then,  
107 we fitted a multiplicative model, including interaction terms of the variables retained in  
108 the additive model. To select the best model, we considered at each step the larger value  
109 of adjusted  $r^2$  along with the minimal value of Akaike's information criterion (AIC) and  
110 the Bayesian information criterion (BIC, Quinn and Keough 2002). *Post-hoc*  
111 comparisons were made using the 'multcomp' R package (Hothorn et al. 2009).

112 Here Table 1

113

## 114 **Results**

115           For both sexes, results for ectoparasite load were similar. Except season\*area, all  
116 interaction terms were not significant (all  $P > 0.05$ ) and not retained in the minimal  
117 models (quasi-poisson GLMs). Across seasons, parasite load was lower during summer  
118 ( $\text{♂♂ } F_{1, 291}=26.29, P=5.42 \times 10^{-7}$ ;  $\text{♀♀ } F_{1, 180}=32.26, P=1.55 \times 10^{-8}$ ). Differences among  
119 years ( $\text{♂♂ } F_{3, 288}=15.65, P=1.87 \times 10^{-9}$ ;  $\text{♀♀ } F_{3, 177}=13.01, P=1.06 \times 10^{-7}$ ) were due to  
120 lower parasite load in 2007 ( $P < 0.005$  in all cases). Anyway, as year-area interaction

121 was not significant, differences among areas remained stable over years. No differences  
 122 were found among areas ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,292}=1.72, P=0.19$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,181}=0.49, P=0.48$ ) but the  
 123 interaction season-area was significant ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,287}=12.57, P < 0.001$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,176}=17.04,$   
 124  $P=5.69 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Thus, not all the areas vary among seasons in the same way, differences  
 125 among areas changed throughout the seasons. Parasite loads decreased in summer in the  
 126 undisturbed area ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,96}=61.11, P=7.30 \times 10^{-12}$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,62}=63.64, P=4.33 \times 10^{-11}$ ) but  
 127 not in the visitors' area ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,196}=2.47, P=0.12$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,117}=3.40, P=0.07$ ). While in  
 128 spring we did not detect differences among areas ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,176}=2.09, P=0.15$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,103}=2.73,$   
 129  $P=0.10$ ), in summer such differences were found ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,116}=16.43,$   
 130  $P=9.20 \times 10^{-5}$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,76}=15.67, P < 0.001$ ; Table 1).

131 Ectoparasite load could be related with body size. However, Gaussian GLMs  
 132 showed that in both seasons, males from the visitors' area were larger (spring:  $F_{1,176}=11.43,$   
 133  $P < 0.001$ ; summer:  $F_{1,115}=22.11, P < 0.001$ ), while females had similar body  
 134 sizes in both areas (spring:  $F_{1,105}=0.06, P=0.81$ ; summer:  $F_{1,77}=1.41, P=0.24$ ).  
 135 Moreover, parasite load was not related to SVL (Gaussian GLMs) during spring ( $\sigma\sigma$   
 136  $F_{1,177}=0.07, P=0.79$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,103}=0.36, P=0.55$ ). In summer, parasitism increased with  
 137 SVL only in the visitors' area (visitors:  $\sigma\sigma F_{1,64}=16.04, P=0.0002$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,44}=6.13,$   
 138  $P=0.02$ ; undisturbed:  $\sigma\sigma F_{1,49}=3.98, P=0.52$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,30}=0.35, P=0.56$ ).

139 Gaussian GLMs revealed that, between seasons, body condition decreased in a  
 140 more pronounced way in the visitors' area ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,191}=55.55, P=3.08 \times 10^{-12}$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,113}=38.00,$   
 141  $P=1.12 \times 10^{-8}$ ) than in the undisturbed area ( $\sigma\sigma F_{1,95}=7.91, P=0.006$ ;  $\varphi\varphi F_{1,62}=10.48,$   
 142  $P=0.002$ ; Figure 2). Similarly, males from the visitors' area were in a better  
 143 condition in spring ( $F_{1,171}=8.88, P=0.003$ ) but did not in summer ( $F_{1,115}=0.007,$   
 144  $P=0.93$ ). A somewhat different tendency was found for females: condition was similar  
 145 among areas in spring ( $F_{1,101}=0.05, P=0.82$ ), but was marginally poorer for females

146 from the visitors' area in summer ( $F_{1,74}=3.32, P=0.07$ ). Mite load and body condition  
147 were negatively correlated in spring (spring: ♂♂  $F_{1,172}=6.28, P=0.01$ ; ♀♀  $F_{1,99}=7.45,$   
148  $P=0.01$ ; summer: ♂♂  $F_{1,115}=0.05, P=0.83$ ; ♀♀  $F_{1,75}=0.11, P=0.75$ ) in the same way in  
149 both areas (mite load: area:  $P<0.62; F> 0.43$  in all cases).

150 Here Figure 2

151

## 152 **Discussion**

153         Confirming our predictions, loss of body condition and intensity of infection  
154 were more intense where and when human pressure is higher. In spring, no differences  
155 in body condition between females from both areas were observed but females from the  
156 visitors' area exhibited a lower condition in summer. Similarly, males from the  
157 undisturbed area showed a lower condition in spring to those in the disturbed zone,  
158 while in summer no differences were detected. That is, body condition's trends were  
159 different at each area. Loss of body condition was more pronounced in the visitors' area  
160 for both sexes, suggesting a negative effect of human disturbance. To frequently  
161 perform escape responses is costly (Kramer and McLaughlin 2001; Gleeson and  
162 Hancock 2002) and could represent the greatest daily energy expenditure in reptiles  
163 (Christian et al. 1997). So, visitors' area lizards may experience accumulative  
164 physiological costs resulting in a more pronounced loss of body mass (Martín and  
165 López 1999; Pérez-Tris et al. 2004) which could affect the ability to invest in defence  
166 against parasites (Cooper et al. 1985; Navarro et al. 2004).

167         Parasite load decreased in summer in both sexes. Lizards are more active during  
168 breeding season and many resources are invested in reproductive effort. But once  
169 breeding season finishes, resources could be allocated to fight against parasites

170 (Salvador et al. 1996; Amo et al. 2005; Bouma et al. 2007). Alternatively, parasite  
171 numbers may decrease towards the end of the season because of less suitable climatic  
172 conditions. A more detailed analysis revealed that trends in ectoparasite loads across  
173 seasons were similar in both areas of the island. During spring, parasite load were  
174 similar in both areas, while in summer undisturbed lizards carried fewer mites. In other  
175 words, parasite load was maintained through seasons in visitors' area but decreased in  
176 undisturbed area, as previously observed in other lizard species (Amo et al. 2005). This  
177 could be due to perceived risk of predation. That is, under conditions of limited resource  
178 availability, escape behavior takes precedence over immune defence (Navarro et al.  
179 2004). In addition, the use of refuges to avoid predators entails physiological and  
180 parasitic costs: loss of body mass due to thermal constraints and acquisition of mites  
181 previously released by other conspecifics (Martín and López 1999; Leu et al. 2010).  
182 However, this is unlikely in *P. lilfordi* as typically remains in sight after fleeing (Pérez-  
183 Cembranos et al. 2013).

184         Alternatively, immune function in disturbed lizards may be compromised via the  
185 known immunosuppressive effects of elevated corticosterone levels (e.g. Romero and  
186 Wikelski 2002; Navarro et al. 2004) rather than through simple caloric restrictions.  
187 Finally, lizards that spend more time escaping in visitors' area can have higher  
188 probabilities to encounter and accrue more mites.

189         Parasite load and condition were negatively correlated only during spring, when  
190 mating is more intense. In this season, only the lizards in better body condition would  
191 allocate energy for both breeding and defence against parasites. Alternatively,  
192 decreasing of parasite load in summer may obscure the expected relation between both  
193 variables. Interestingly, just in summer, a positive correlation between parasitism and  
194 body size appeared in visitors' area. Probably, in less disrupted areas, larger lizards



195 obtained enough resources to have a good defence against parasites, while this trend  
196 was absent in visitors' area, where lizards performed more escape responses (Amo et al.  
197 2006).

198 For reptiles, only just few studies have investigated the detrimental effects of  
199 tourism on wild populations (Amo et al. 2006; Romero and Wikelski 2002). even if  
200 tourism has been proposed as one of the major threats for the conservation of lizard  
201 populations (Corbett 1989). Individuals frequently exposed to humans are in a  
202 competitive disadvantage due to consequences over their fitness and offspring (Amo et  
203 al. 2006). Moreover, disturbance from tourism is further exacerbated by the fact that  
204 Mediterranean islands receive the bulk of visitors during summer, when resources  
205 available for wild populations are scarcer (Pérez-Mellado and Corti 1993). Thus, these  
206 results should be taken into account when designing conservation plans or management  
207 strategies.

208

## 209 **References**

- 210 Amo L, López P, Martín J (2005) Prevalence and intensity of haemogregarine blood  
211 parasites and their mite vectors in the common wall lizard *Podarcis muralis*. Parasitol  
212 Res 96:378-381
- 213 Amo L, López P, Martín J (2006) Tourism as a form of predation risk affects body  
214 condition and health state of *Podarcis muralis* lizards. Biol Conserv 131:402-409
- 215 Borràs R, Cardona F, Fortuny X, Marsinyach E (2009) Monitoreig de la biodiversitat  
216 marina de l'ús i de la freqüentació antròpica a les aigües interiors de l'illa de l'Aire.  
217 Institut Menorquí d'Estudis, Maò

218 Bouma MJ, Smallridge CJ, Bull CM, Komodeur J (2007) Susceptibility to infection by  
219 a haemogregarine parasite and the impact of infection in the Australian sleepy lizard,  
220 *Tiliqua rugosa*. Parasitol Res 100:949-954

221 Carney KM, Sydeman WJ (1999) A review of human disturbance effects on nesting  
222 colonial waterbirds. Waterbirds 22:68-79

223 Christian KA, Baudinette RV, Pamula Y (1997) Energetic costs of activity by lizards in  
224 the field. Funct Ecol 11:392-397

225 Corbett K (1989) Conservation of European Reptiles and Amphibians. Christopher  
226 Helm, London

227 Cooper EL, Kempau AE, Zapate AG (1985) Reptilian immunity. In: Gans C, Billet F,  
228 Maderson PFA (eds) Biology of the Reptilia, vol 14. Wiley, New York, pp 599-678

229 Garrido M, Pérez-Mellado V (2013a) Prevalence and intensity of blood parasites in  
230 insular lizards. Zool Anz 252(4):588-592

231 Garrido M, Pérez-Mellado V (2013b) Patterns of parasitism in insular lizards: effects of  
232 body size condition and resource availability. Zoology 116:106-112

233 Gleeson TT, Hancock TV (2002) Metabolic implications of a ‘run now pay later’  
234 strategy in lizards: an analysis of post-exercise oxygen consumption. Comp Biochem  
235 Physiol A 133:259-267

236 IUCN (2013) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Version (2013)  
237 <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 21 November 2013

238 Kramer DL, McLaughlin RL (2001) The behavioral ecology of intermittent locomotion.  
239 Amer Zool 41:137-153

240 Leu ST, Kappeler PM, Bull CM (2010) Refuge sharing network predicts ectoparasite  
241 load in a lizard. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 64(9):1495-1503

242 Martín J, López P (1999) An experimental test of the costs of antipredatory refuge use  
243 in the wall lizard *Podarcis muralis*. *Oikos* 84:499-505

244 Marsinyach E, Pérez M (2009) Seguiment biològic de l'illa de l'Aire: Noves dades per a  
245 l'anàlisi de la pressió antròpica a l'illa de l'aire. Institut Menorquí d'Estudis, Maò

246 Navarro C, de Lope F, Marzal A, Moller AP (2004) Predation risk host immune  
247 response and parasitism. *Behav Ecol* 15:629-635

248 Perera A, Pérez-Mellado V (2004) Ausencia de plasticidad fenotípica en las estrategias  
249 reproductoras de lagartija balear, *Podarcis lilfordi* (Squamata Lacertidae). *Rev Menorca*  
250 86 (I):59-171

251 Pérez-Cembranos A, Pérez-Mellado V, Cooper WE (2013) Predation risk and  
252 opportunity cost of fleeing while foraging on plants influence escape decisions of an  
253 insular lizard. *Ethol* 119:522-530

254 Pérez-Mellado V, Corti C (1993) Dietary adaptations and herbivory in lacertid lizards of  
255 the genus *Podarcis* from western Mediterranean islands (Reptilia: Sauria). *Bonn Zool*  
256 *Beitr* 44:193-220

257 Pérez-Mellado V, García-Díez T, Hernández-Estévez JA, Herrero C, Riera N, Catalán I  
258 (2013) Estudio ecológico de la lagartija balear *Podarcis lilfordi* (Günther, 1874) en  
259 Menorca. *Rev Menorca* 80:455-511

260 Pérez-Tris J, Díaz JA, Tellería JL (2004) Loss of body mass under predation risk: cost  
261 of antipredatory behaviour or adaptive-fit-for escape? *Anim Behav* 67:511-521

262 Quinn GP, Keough MJ (2002) Experimental design and data analysis for biologists.  
263 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK

264 R Development Core Team (2010) R: A language and environment for statistical  
265 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria

266 Romero LM, Wikelski M (2002) Exposure to tourism reduces stress-induced  
267 corticosterone levels in Galápagos marine iguanas. *Biol Cons* 108:371-374

268 Salvador A, Veiga JP, Martín J, López P, Abelenda M, Puerta M (1996) The cost of  
269 producing a sexual signal: testosterone increases the susceptibility of male lizards to  
270 ectoparasitic infestation. *Behav Ecol* 7:145-150

271 Schulte-Hostedde AI, Zinner B, Millar JS, Hickling GJ (2005) Restitution of mass-size  
272 residuals: validating body condition indices. *Ecology* 86:155-163

273 Wakelin D (1996) Immunity to Parasites. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge  
274

## 275 **Figure Legends**

276 **Figure 1** Map of Aire Island including the approximate limits of the area influenced by  
277 humans (visitors' area), that is, the area of high human pressure. This area includes a  
278 perimeter of no more than 4 meters on each side of the track. Then, we delimited a  
279 transition area of 60 m where we do not captured lizards, to avoid doubtful results in  
280 relation with human influence. The rest of the island was almost free of humans and we  
281 consider it as an undisturbed area (see more details in the text).

282 **Figure 2** Boxplot of body condition of males and females in both areas (Visitor:  
283 visitors' area; Undist: undisturbed area) for spring and summer.